

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Date	11-06-07			Dept: CE88
Honorable		Judge	M. ARNOLD	Deputy Clerk
Honorable	SCOTT M. GORDON	Judge Pro Tem	R. WILLIAMS	Court Assistant
	S. VARTAZAROV	Deputy Sheriff	NONE	Reporter

8:00 am

BD455662

Britney Spears (N/A)

Counsel For
Petitioner:

VS.

Kevin Federline (N/A)

Counsel For
Respondent:

NO APPEARANCES

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: COURT'S RULING ON SUBMITTED MATTERS

The Respondent has requested attorney fees pursuant to Family Code §2030. In his *Keech* declaration filed on October 10, 2007, the Respondent requests attorney fees in the amount of \$160,000. The Court has considered the pleadings of each party including the responsive declaration filed by Petitioner on October 19, 2007.

The Petitioner filed an Income and Expense Declaration (I&E) on October 10, 2007. The Petitioner indicated in her I&E that she works as a recording artist. The Petitioner stated in this document that the "Petitioner stipulates that she has the ability to pay any reasonable attorneys fees and costs." The Court has also reviewed the Petitioner's I&E filed on August 8, 2007.

The Court has considered the information submitted by the Petitioner in that document regarding her monthly income, expenses and assets.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Date	11-06-07			Dept: CE88
Honorable		Judge	M. ARNOLD	Deputy Clerk
Honorable	SCOTT M. GORDON	Judge Pro Tem	R. WILLIAMS	Court Assistant
	S. VARTAZAROV	Deputy Sheriff	NONE	Reporter

8:00 am

BD455662

Britney Spears (N/A)	Counsel For Petitioner:
VS.	
Kevin Federline (N/A)	Counsel For Respondent:
	NO APPEARANCES

Family Code § 2030 provides that in a family law proceeding, the Court shall ensure that each party has access to legal representation to preserve each party's rights by ordering, if necessary based on an assessment of income and needs, one party to pay to the other party, or to the other party's attorney, whatever amount is "reasonably necessary" for attorney's fees and for the cost of maintaining or defending the proceeding. Attorneys' fees under the statute are need-based predicated on what is just and reasonably necessary to adequately maintain or defend an action given the relative circumstances of the parties. In re Marriage of Braud (1996) 45 Cal.App.4th 797, 827.

In considering the relative circumstances of the parties the Court considers their respective incomes and expenses, as well as their cash available to pay counsel. In re Marriage of Duncan (2001) 90 Cal.App.4th 617, 629. Family Code §2032(b) specifically recognizes that "[t]he fact that the party requesting an award of attorney's fees and costs has resources from which the party could pay the party's own attorney's fees and costs is not itself a bar

DEPT: CE88

MINUTES ENTERED 11-06-07 COUNTY CLERK

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Date	11-06-07			Dept: CE88
Honorable		Judge	M. ARNOLD	Deputy Clerk
Honorable	SCOTT M. GORDON	Judge Pro Tem	R. WILLIAMS	Court Assistant
	S. VARTAZAROV	Deputy Sheriff	NONE	Reporter

8:00 am

BD455662

Britney Spears (N/A)

Counsel For
Petitioner:

VS.

Kevin Federline (N/A)

Counsel For
Respondent:

NO APPEARANCES

to an order that the other party pay part or all of the fees and costs requested. Financial resources are only one factor for the court to consider in determining how to apportion the overall cost of the litigation equitably between the parties under their relative circumstances." Thus, even if a party has the ability to pay his or her own fees, a *disparity* in the parties' respective circumstances may itself demonstrate relative "need." *Marriage of Drake* (1997) 53 Cal. App. 4th 1139, 1167; *Marriage of Cheriton* (2001) 92 Cal. App. 4th 269, 315.

If there is a need for fees and an ability to pay, the Court then considers the reasonableness of the fees, including the results achieved and the manner in which they were achieved. *In re Marriage of Keech* (1999) 75 Cal. App. 4th 860, 867-869. Services which have no apparent effect other than to prolong and to complicate domestic litigation cannot be deemed "reasonably necessary" and may properly be disregarded in determining whether and in what amount to order one party to contribute to the cost of the other's representation. *See Marriage of Behrens* (1982) 137 Cal. App. 3d 562, 576. The purpose of an award of

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Date	11-06-07			Dept: CE88
Honorable		Judge	M. ARNOLD	Deputy Clerk
Honorable	SCOTT M. GORDON	Judge Pro Tem	R. WILLIAMS	Court Assistant
	S. VARTAZAROV	Deputy Sheriff	NONE	Reporter

8:00 am

BD455662

Britney Spears (N/A)
VS.

Counsel For
Petitioner:

Kevin Federline (N/A)

Counsel For
Respondent:

NO APPEARANCES

future attorney fees in a dissolution proceeding is to provide the party to whom the award is made an adequate amount to properly litigate the action. (*In re Marriage of Ward* (1992) 3 Cal.App.4th 618, 627 [4 Cal.Rptr.2d 365].) "The [trial] Court has broad discretion in awarding attorney fees and costs in dissolution proceedings. Its determination will not be disturbed on appeal absent a clear showing of an abuse of discretion. [Citations.]" (at p. 628.)

In this matter, the Court has considered the notable disparity between the parties income, the nature of the litigation and the type of legal services rendered. The lawyers for both parties are highly respected and accomplished family law litigators. The vast majority of the post-judgment litigation deals with the Petitioner's conduct.

Based on the evidence presented, pursuant to Family Code §2030 and §2032, the Petitioner is ordered to pay the Respondent attorney fees in the amount of \$120,000, payable

DEPT: CE88

MINUTES ENTERED 11-06-07 COUNTY CLERK

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Date	11-06-07	Judge	M. ARNOLD	Dept:	CE88
Honorable		Judge Pro Tem	R. WILLIAMS	Deputy Clerk	
Honorable	SCOTT M. GORDON	Deputy Sheriff	NONE	Court Assistant	
	S. VARTAZAROV			Reporter	

8:00 am

BD455662

Britney Spears (N/A)

Counsel For
Petitioner:

VS.

Kevin Federline (N/A)

Counsel For
Respondent:

NO APPEARANCES

forthwith.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I, John A. Clarke Executive Officer/Clerk of the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles, and not a party to the action hereby certify that on this date I mailed a copy of this minute order to all parties by depositing it in sealed envelopes with postage thereon fully prepaid in the U.S. mail at Los Angeles, California addressed as follows:

Sorrell Trope, Esq.
12121 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 801
Los Angeles, CA 90025

Mark V. Kaplan, Esq.
2049 Century Park East, Suite 2660
Los Angeles, California 90067

Dated: November 6, 2007

JOHN A. CLARK, EXECUTIVE OFFICER/CLERK

By M. Arnold, Deputy